



www.lsc.ohio.gov

OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Office of Research
and Drafting

Legislative Budget
Office

H.B. 157
(1_134_0954-2)
134th General Assembly

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

[Click here for H.B. 157's Bill Analysis](#)

Version: In House Ways and Means

Primary Sponsors: Reps. Jordan and Edwards

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: Yes

Jean J. Botomogno, Principal Economist

Highlights

- Amending a temporary rule in Section 29 of H.B. 197 of the 133rd General Assembly, governing the municipal income taxation of employees working at a temporary worksite – including their homes – due to the COVID-19 pandemic, creates a fiscal effect for municipalities that is uncertain because it depends on an employer's withholding decisions. Some municipalities may experience an increase in income tax withholdings while others may experience a decrease.
- The bill states that no tax, penalties, or interest may be imposed if municipal income tax is withheld for the principal place of work during the period of March 9, 2020, through December 31, 2021. This may result in an undetermined revenue loss for certain municipalities.

Detailed Analysis

Municipal income tax law

Under codified law, a nonresident employee may work in a municipality for up to 20 days per year without the employer becoming subject to that municipality's tax withholding requirements and the employee becoming subject to that municipality's income tax. And if an employee does not exceed the 20-day threshold, that employee's pay is not counted toward the business's payroll factor, one of three factors – along with property and sales – that determines whether, and the extent to which, a firm's own income is subject to the municipality's tax on net profits.

Municipalities levy a municipal income tax on wages, salaries, and other compensation earned by residents of the municipality and by nonresidents working in the municipality, and on the net profits of businesses attributable to their activities in the municipality. Most

municipalities allow a partial or full credit to residents for municipal income taxes paid to another municipality where they are employed. A few municipalities do not allow any credit, so residents of those municipalities who work in another municipality pay an additional tax to their place of residence. Administration of the municipal income tax is strictly local, either by the cities and villages themselves or by central collection agencies under contract with various municipalities. Businesses generally remit employees' withholding taxes to municipalities in which they do business. Businesses may also remit income tax withholding to their employees' municipality of residence, though that is not a requirement.¹

In calendar year (CY) 2018, the latest data available from the Department of Taxation, 642 municipalities (242 cities and 400 villages) levied the tax. Municipal income tax collections statewide were estimated at \$5.60 billion, ranging from approximately \$9,715 in the village of Cecil (in Paulding County) to approximately \$864.6 million in Columbus (Franklin).

Data on working from home (WFH)

Prior to CY 2020, estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau suggested that less than 4% of Ohio workers worked from home. The pandemic upended employment-related commuting patterns as many employees switched to WFH at the behest of governments and employers who implemented such policies. WFH is not uniformly available to all employers and employees, varying greatly by the type of work performed and the type of industry. In 2020, the share of employees able to work from home was estimated by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics to be as high as 81% for the financial industry, to lows of 20% for leisure and hospitality.² Researchers at the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) found that, nationwide, of those employed pre-COVID-19, about half were working from home in the spring of 2020, including 35.2% who reported they were commuting and recently switched to working from home.³ Currently, however, the share of employees WFH is likely to be less than the level registered last year, as the most stringent COVID-related restrictions have been lifted. No reliable estimates of WFH for each Ohio municipality exist, though available research suggests the impact of WFH policies would be greater on municipalities with a higher concentration of workers in the "knowledge" economy and a higher percentage of nonresident workers that commute into that municipality from surrounding areas. On the other hand, the impact of WFH would be lower for municipalities whose industrial mix is dominated by warehousing, manufacturing, and production of goods.

¹ Businesses operating in multiple municipalities may opt to remit taxes to the Department of Taxation which will distribute the amounts to the various cities. Under H.B. 49 of the 132nd General Assembly, firms may file one municipal net profit tax return through the Ohio Business Gateway. The tax department handles all administrative functions for those centrally filed returns and distributes payments to the appropriate municipalities.

² The percentage was even lower, 8%, for the agriculture, fishing, forestry, and hunting occupations. Data available at <https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2020/article/ability-to-work-from-home.htm>.

³ Brynjolfsson, Erik, et al. *COVID-19 and Remote Work: An Early Look at U.S. Data*, NBER Working Paper 27344, <http://www.nber.org/papers/w27344>.

The H.B. 197 temporary rule

Under the temporary rule, if an individual has to work at a temporary worksite because of the COVID-19 emergency, that employee is still considered to be working at his or her regular place of employment. This treatment affects the municipality (1) for which the employer must withhold income taxes, (2) that may tax the employee's pay, and (3) that may tax (whether and how much) the employer's own income. Therefore, the temporary rule prevented employers from applying codified law to wages of workers who suddenly were working away from their regular place of employment. From a practical perspective, H.B. 197 ensured that employers would not have to modify their employee withholding accounting setup or modify the municipality to which they would file and remit tax withholdings and net profit taxes, as long as the Governor's COVID-19 emergency remained in place. Thus, H.B. 197 allowed the employer to not withhold taxes for that employee in the municipality where the employee's temporary worksite (home) was located and prevented the employer from becoming subject to income tax by the employee's municipality.

Fiscal effect of H.B 157

The bill makes several changes to Section 29 of H.B. 197. The bill states that the section only applies to wages earned during the period from March 9, 2020 to December 31, 2021, applies to withholding and apportioning and/or situsing the employer's net profit tax, and is not to be used for determining the income for tax purposes of a nonresident employee for the nonresident city. The bill specifies that Section 29 does not prohibit an employer from withholding income tax to the actual municipal corporation where the employee works from home or at another location. The bill also provides that Section 29 does not apply for purposes of determining the location at which an employee's work was done, an employee's activities were conducted, or determine the taxability of an employee's income for municipal income tax purposes.

The bill allows an employer to reassign employees during the period above, change the principal place of work, and withhold municipal income taxes for the municipality where the work is actually performed. Depending on an employer's decision regarding withholding, the reassignment due to Covid-19 may possibly increase or reduce municipal income tax withholding revenue to affected municipalities, when compared to the fiscal effect of Section 29 in H.B. 197. In cases where the reassignment and the new work location is an employee's home in a township,⁴ this provision may result in a loss of withholding tax revenue to the municipality where the prior principal place of work was located. However, compared to codified law, reassigning an employee to a different principal place of work and withholding income taxes for that new work location would have no fiscal effect.

The bill states that no tax, penalties, or interest may be imposed if municipal income tax is withheld for the principal place of work during the period of March 9, 2020 through December 31, 2021. The bill prohibits a municipal corporation from assessing taxes, penalties, or interest against an employer for the employer's failure to properly withhold tax from an employee's wages, as long as the employer does properly withhold in accordance with Section 29, including the retrospective

⁴ Generally, townships do not impose a municipal income tax, except in limited circumstances.

changes made by the bill described above. This prohibition may reduce revenue to certain municipalities that would have imposed such tax, penalties, and interest.

Synopsis of Fiscal Effect Changes

The substitute bill (I_134_0954-2) amends Section 29 of H.B. 197, including specifying that it applies from March 9, 2020, through the end of December in CY 2021. In contrast, the As Introduced version repealed the section.

The substitute bill allows an employer to reassign employees during the period above and change the principal place of work, and withhold municipal income taxes for the municipality where the work is actually performed. Depending on an employer's withholding decision, this reassignment may increase or reduce municipal income tax withholding revenue to certain municipalities.

The substitute bill prohibits a municipal corporation from assessing taxes, penalties, or interest against an employer for the employer's failure to properly withhold tax from an employee's wages, as long as the employer does properly withhold in accordance with the special withholding rule. This prohibition may reduce revenue to certain municipalities that would have imposed such tax, penalties, and interest on employers.