



www.lsc.ohio.gov

OHIO LEGISLATIVE SERVICE COMMISSION

Office of Research
and Drafting

Legislative Budget
Office

H.B. 105
(1_136_0706-1 with
AM0365)
136th General Assembly

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

[Click here for H.B. 105's Bill Analysis](#)

Version: In House Insurance

Primary Sponsors: Reps. Craig and J. Thomas

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Jessica Murphy, Senior Budget Analyst

Highlights

- The costs for the Office of the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Section to investigate matters related to nonrecourse litigation funding agreements will depend on the number of complaints filed/reported, investigations performed, and enforcement actions taken. To some degree, any related increase in operating costs might be offset by the collection of civil penalties credited to the Consumer Protection Enforcement Fund (Fund 6310).
- Any increase in the annual operating costs of courts of common pleas to adjudicate civil actions related to Consumer Sales Protection Act (CSPA) violations is likely to be no more than minimal.

Detailed Analysis

The bill repeals the law governing nonrecourse civil litigation advance contracts and replaces it with two subsets of regulations for commercial litigation financing agreements ("commercial agreements") and consumer legal funding agreements ("consumer agreements"). With respect to these agreements, most notably, the bill largely replicates the existing Consumer Sales Protection Act (CSPA) provisions for nonrecourse civil litigation contracts and establishes several supplemental contract-related requirements for those agreements (for details, see the "**Contract Requirements**" table of the [bill analysis](#) and the [substitute bill comparative synopsis](#)).

Enforcement

Under the bill, any violation of the consumer legal funding law is considered an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of the CSPA, for which two civil remedies are available. The first such remedy is available to the Attorney General, who is authorized to investigate violations. The Attorney General may seek a declaratory judgment, an injunction, or other equitable relief,

or organize and bring a class action. The second remedy permits a private individual to initiate a civil action.

Currently there is no mechanism for the Attorney General to enforce the law regulating these contracts. As such, there have been no investigations or civil actions filed by the Attorney General against any litigation financing lender or company. It appears that the only legal recourse now available to a consumer injured by a violation of the existing law would be to file a civil action in a county or municipal court.

Attorney General

Overall, the magnitude of work involved for the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Section to enforce the new nonrecourse civil litigation advance law will depend on the number of complaints filed/reported, investigations performed, and enforcement actions taken. For comparison, according to the latest Consumer Protection Annual Report, its Civil Investigative Unit opened 140 cases, and the Civil Legal Unit filed 33 consumer protection-related lawsuits in calendar year 2024.¹

The data illustrates that the number of Attorney General-initiated lawsuits is relatively small. This is because as a matter of practice, the Attorney General attempts to settle the issues surrounding CSPA violations prior to initiating any formal legal action. Similar to existing CSPA cases, depending on the facts of the case and pattern of conduct, the Attorney General's Office would generally seek court action against a litigation financing lender or company if a pattern of complaints is observed or when less formal negotiating strategies are unsuccessful. In that scenario, the Attorney General's Office could request that a court of common pleas issue a declaratory judgment, a temporary restraining order, or an injunction in order to persuade violators to cease their offending behavior. If a civil remedy is pursued and the court determines that a violation has occurred, the court adjudicating the matter can award the Attorney General all costs and expenses associated with its investigation, in addition to reasonable attorney's fees.

Under current law, the court may impose a civil penalty of: (1) not more than \$5,000 for each day of violation of a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, or a permanent injunction, and (2) not more than \$25,000 for each violation of the CSPA. The civil penalties are distributed in the following amounts: three-fourths, or 75%, to the state's Consumer Protection Enforcement Fund (Fund 6310), and one-fourth, or 25%, to the treasury of the county where the Attorney General's action is brought. The timing and magnitude of this revenue stream will be sporadic and unpredictable. Any additional operating expenses incurred may be offset by additional penalty money credited to Fund 6310.

Additionally, the Attorney General may file a complaint and seek a remedy against a commercial litigation financier found by a court to have violated the commercial litigation financing agreement law. This remedy could include barring the financier from doing business in Ohio.

¹ The [complete report](#) may be found on the Attorney General's website under the "Media" tab and selecting "Reports" at ohioattorneygeneral.gov.

Local trial courts

LBO has not collected any evidence suggesting the number of civil actions brought annually in any given local trial court will significantly change. Potentially some civil actions will be brought for breach of nonrecourse civil litigation advanced contracts. Likewise, some number of cases alleging a statutory violation involving these contracts would not be filed, and could instead be filed as a complaint with the Attorney General and perhaps informally resolved rather than litigated.² As the number of such cases is expected to be small, the bill is not expected to create a discernible ongoing fiscal effect on local trial courts, in particular courts of common pleas.

Synopsis of Fiscal Effect Changes

The bill's fiscal effects remain unchanged between the As Introduced version and the substitute bill (I_136_0706-1), as amended by AM0365.

FNHB0105H1-136/lb

² Such a civil action could be commenced in a municipal and county court, both of which have jurisdiction on matters in which the amount of money in dispute is \$15,000 or less, or in a common pleas court, which has jurisdiction in actions with amounts over \$15,000.